

Satellite Navigation Branch, ANG-E66

ADVANCED RECEIVER AUTONOMOUS INTEGRITY MONITORING PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS REPORT

December 2021

Report #4 Reporting Period: October 1 to December 31, 2020

FAA William J. Hughes Technical Center Atlantic City International Airport, New Jersey 08405 http://www.nstb.tc.faa.gov

VERSION	DESCRIPTION OF CHANGE	DATE
0.1	Initial Draft	10/16/2021
0.2	Additional Edits	11/17/2021
0.3	Technical Edit	12/02/2021
0.4	Peer Review	12/22/2021
1.0	Final Report	12/23/2021

DOCUMENT VERSION CONTROL

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This is the fourth quarterly report of 2020 by the United States Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) documenting the performance of the Global Positioning System (GPS) for use in Advanced Receiver Autonomous Integrity Monitoring (ARAIM). ARAIM will be used during aircraft flight operations to assure integrity of the satellite signals, which will permit aircraft to safely navigate worldwide in all phases of flight, including precision landing. The results in this report are intended for further discussion and validation of ARAIM standards. They are not intended for comparison to GPS performance commitments at this time.

This report is produced by the FAA William J Hughes Technical Center, Satellite Navigation Branch, ANG-E66. The Satellite Navigation Branch also provides monitoring and reporting services on U.S. GPS performance and the FAA Wide Area Augmentation System (WAAS) in the GPS Standard Positioning Service Performance Analysis Report (PAN) and the WAAS PAN report, respectively.

For ARAIM, a global array of GPS receivers is used to monitor signals from every GPS satellite, and those signals are analyzed to determine performance. Standards for ARAIM are evolving, and performance requirements will be published in the near future. In support of those requirements, signal monitoring is required to ensure that performance meets the standards, and to calculate the integrity parameters used to characterize the system. This report provides the current results of that monitoring.

ARAIM PAN Report #4 includes data and analysis for October 1, 2020 through December 31, 2020. Also presented, for historical comparison and long-term trend analysis, are performance data from January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2020. The report presents measured accuracy and integrity parameters and provides analysis to support the development of the GPS Integrity Support Message (ISM).

The following parameters are currently under consideration for ARAIM user calculation of service integrity: t_{correl} , b_{nom0} , γ_{nom} , R_{sat} , P_{const} , mean fault duration (MFD), service level, and mask. In this report, the parameters R_{sat} , P_{const} , and MFD are considered to be most mature, and are included. As standards mature, a complete definition of these parameters and their use will be available, and the report will provide the full complement of ARAIM parameters.

In this quarter, GPS continued to perform well, and there were no faults detected. The specified probability of a single satellite fault (R_{sat}) is currently 10⁻⁵, the probability of multiple common cause faults (P_{const}) is currently 10⁻⁸, and the MFD is currently 1 hour; all of these values are consistent with GPS Constellation Service Provider (CSP) commitments.

The maximum mean, 68%, and 95% values of the aggregated instantaneous Signal-in-Space Range Error (SISRE) across 200 user locations in this quarter were 0.335 m on space vehicle number (SVN) 64, 1.240 m on SVN 65, and 2.40 m on SVN 65, respectively.

Nominal clock and ephemeris errors for all satellites were conservatively described by the GPS broadcast user range accuracy (URA). In this quarter, URAs overbounded all nominal range errors with the maximum scale factor of 0.465 on SVN 65. The URA value of 2.4 m was broadcast 92.667% of the time, in line with historical performance.

The monthly mean and 95% value of the aggregated instantaneous SISREs in this quarter, of all satellites across 200 user locations, were consistent with historical performance, with the majority of the means under 0.2 m and the majority of the 95% values under 1 m. The highest mean was 0.372m on SVN 64, and the highest 95% value was 2.500 m on SVN 65.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRO	DUCT	ION	1
	1.1	Systen	n Overview	1
	1.2	Purpos	5e	1
	1.3	Scope		1
	1.4	Report	Layout	2
2.	GPS IS	SM PAI	RAMETER MONITORING	2
	2.1	Data		4
		2.1.1	Data Source and Rate	4
		2.1.2	Data Collection and Cleansing	4
		2.1.3	Error Computation and Anomaly Detection	4
		2.1.4	Data Partitioning	8
		2.1.5	Data Limitations	. 10
		2.1.6	Data Analysis	10
	2.2	Nomir	al Accuracy and URA Bounding	11
		2.2.1	Broadcast URA	. 11
		2.2.2	Nominal Accuracy	. 16
		2.2.3	URA Bounding of Nominal Accuracy	.31
		2.2.4	URA Bounding of Nominal Position Accuracy	46
	2.3	Fault I	Probability	49
		2.3.1	List of Events	51
APPE	NDIX A	A: GLO	SSARY AND ACRONYMS	. 55
APPE	NDIX B	B: DETA	AIL ANALYSIS FOR SVN64 ON OCTOBER 14-15, 2020	57

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1. 200 User Locations	5
Figure 2-2. Satellite Data, 4th Quarter 2020	7
Figure 2-3. Relative Frequency of URA, January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2020	12
Figure 2-4. Relative Frequency of URA, 4th Quarter 2020	14
Figure 2-5. Radial, Cross-Track, Along-Track, and SISRE Errors Box Plot, 4th Quarter 2020	19
Figure 2-6. Radial, Cross-Track, Along-Track, and SISRE Errors (PDF), 4th Quarter 2020	20
Figure 2-7. Monthly Mean SISRE for SVN 43 to SVN 51, January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2020	21
Figure 2-8. Monthly Mean SISRE for SVN 52 to SVN 61, January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2020	21
Figure 2-9. Monthly Mean SISRE for SVN 62 to 69, January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2020	22
Figure 2-10. Monthly Mean SISRE for SVN 70 to 77, January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2020	22
Figure 2-11. Monthly 95% SISRE for SVN 43 to SVN 51, January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2020	23
Figure 2-12. Monthly 95% SISRE for SVN 52 to SVN 61, January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2020	23
Figure 2-13. Monthly 95% SISRE for SVN 62 to SVN 69, January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2020	24
Figure 2-14. Monthly 95% SISRE for SVN 70 to SVN 77 January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2020	24
Figure 2-15. Monthly Mean SISRE for SVN 43 to SVN 51, 4th Quarter 2020	
Figure 2-16. Monthly Mean SISRE for SVN 52 to SVN61, 4th Quarter 2020	
Figure 2-17. Monthly Mean SISRE for SVN 62 to SVN 69, 4th Quarter 2020	27
Figure 2-18. Monthly Mean SISRE for SVN 70 to SVN 77, 4th Quarter 2020	27
Figure 2-19. Monthly 95% SISRE for SVN 43 to SVN 51, 4th Quarter 2020	
Figure 2-20. Monthly 95% SISRE for SVN 52 to SVN 61, 4th Quarter 2020	
Figure 2-21. Monthly 95% SISRE for SVN 62to SVN 69, 4th Quarter 2020	29

Figure 2-22. Monthly 95% SISRE for SVN 70 to SVN 77, 4th Quarter 2020 29
Figure 2-23. PDF Normalized MPE Composite, 4th Quarter 2020
Figure 2-24. 1-CDF Normalized MPE for SVN 43 to SVN 51, 4th Quarter 2020
Figure 2-25. 1-CDF Normalized MPE for SVN 52 to SVN 61, 4th Quarter 2020
Figure 2-26. 1-CDF Normalized MPE for SVN 62 to 69, 4th Quarter 2020
Figure 2-27. 1-CDF Normalized MPE for SVN 70 to SVN 77, 4th Quarter 2020
Figure 2-28. 1-CDF Normalized MPE by Block Type, 4th Quarter 2020
Figure 2-29. PDF Normalized SISRE Composite, 4th Quarter 2020
Figure 2-30. 1-CDF Normalized SISRE for SVN 43 to SVN 51, 4th Quarter 2020 39
Figure 2-31. 1-CDF Normalized SISRE for SVN 52 to SVN 61, 4th Quarter 2020 40
Figure 2-32. 1-CDF Normalized SISRE for SVN 62 to SVN 69, 4th Quarter 2020
Figure 2-33. 1-CDF Normalized SISRE for SVN 70 to SVN 77, 4th Quarter 2020
Figure 2-34. 1-CDF Normalized SISRE by Block Type, 4th Quarter 2020
Figure 2-35. Ratio of Bounding Sigma for URA = 2.4 m, 4th Quarter 2020
Figure 2-36. 1-CDF Chi-Square of Normalized SISREs, 4th Quarter 2020
Figure 2-37. Estimated Satellite Narrow Fault Rate
Figure B-1. SVN 64 on October 14, 2020 58
Figure B-2. SVN 64 on October 15, 2020

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1. GPS SPS Instantaneous User Range Error Integrity Standards	3
Table 2-2. Satellite Data, 4th Quarter 2020	8
Table 2-3. PRN Assignment by SVN	9
Table 2-4. Relative Frequency of URA, January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2020	13
Table 2-5. Relative Frequency of URA, 4th Quarter 2020	15
Table 2-6. Radial, Cross-Track, Along-Track, and SISRE Errors, 4th Quarter 2020	17
Table 2-7. Monthly Mean and 95% SISRE, January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2020	25
Table 2-8. Monthly Mean and 95% SISRE, 4th Quarter 2020	30
Table 2-9. Ratio of Bounding Sigma to URA, 4th Quarter 2020	45
Table 2-10. GPS Faults from January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2020	49
Table 2-11. Events	52

1. INTRODUCTION

This report documents the performance of the Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS), which supports Advanced Receiver Autonomous Integrity Monitoring (ARAIM) for worldwide flight operations. ARAIM uses signals, validated for safety-of-flight use, from multiple satellite constellations without the use of an external augmentation system. ARAIM has the potential to reduce costs for service providers and aircraft operators. In its mature state, it will be able to provide worldwide precision approach capability to any location on earth and support expanded service to remote and unimproved areas.

This report is produced by the United States Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) William J Hughes Technical Center, Satellite Navigation Branch, ANG-E66, in Atlantic City, New Jersey. The Satellite Navigation Branch also provides monitoring and reporting services on US Global Positioning System (GPS) performance and the FAA Wide Area Augmentation System (WAAS). These reports are titled Global Positioning System Standard Positioning Service Performance Analysis Report and Wide Area Augmentation System Performance Analysis Report, respectively.

1.1 System Overview

ARAIM is intended to support navigation for en route, terminal, and approach flight operations by detecting hazardous faults in the underlying GNSS without the use of external augmentation systems. Making use of the increasing number of available ranging satellites, ARAIM determines the probabilities of one or more simultaneous fault occurrences and calculates an integrity level. ARAIM updates are contained in the Integrity Support Message (ISM), provided by ground monitoring networks that maintain continuous worldwide GNSS coverage. A more detailed operational description is found in ARAIM Concept of Operation [1].

1.2 Purpose

The purpose of this report is to document the ARAIM-related performance parameters of the core constellations that will support ARAIM flight operations and to provide that information to users, operators, and regulators.

1.3 Scope

This report currently focuses on the ARAIM-related performance parameters of GPS and the ability to support worldwide flight operations using ARAIM. For future operations, ARAIM is planned to be implemented in phases, first for horizontal navigation and later expanded to include vertical navigation. As those changes are implemented, these quarterly reports will also be expended to reflect the evolving nature of ARAIM applications.

1.4 Report Layout

This report presents several types of ARAIM performance information, including nominal GPS accuracy and statistical distributions, satellite faults and estimated fault rates, historical performance, and verification of some of the underlying assumptions of the defining algorithms.

Data is presented in Section 2.1, including the data source, data cleansing, processing, and analysis. This section also discusses the partitioning of the data and some of the data limitations.

Nominal GPS performance is presented in Section 2.2, including nominal errors and user range accuracy (URA), along with statistical error distributions and URA bounding analysis. This section also provides correlation analysis of the nominal error at each user position.

Satellite faults are presented in Section 2.3, including estimated fault rates and historical fault information. Section 2.3 also contains a list with descriptions of any significant or unusual events, such as satellite or monitoring system failures or other unexpected events that impact performance.

2. GPS ISM PARAMETER MONITORING

The ARAIM ISM parameter offline monitoring effort provides for the monitoring of the safety parameters that will be communicated to users through the ISM, including:

- 1. An overbound of the probability or rate of single satellite faults (Psat or Rsat).
- 2. An overbound of the probability or rate of multiple simultaneous faults (P_{const} or R_{const}).
- 3. An overbound of nominal range errors (URA).
- 4. A URA-independent overbound of nominal range bias errors (b_{nom0}).
- 5. A URA-dependent overbound of nominal range bias errors (γ_{nom}).
- 6. An overbound of the mean fault duration (MFD).
- 7. t_{CORREL}, the correlation time constant.
- 8. Service Level.
- 9. Mask.

These parameters are based on Constellation Service Provider (CSP) commitments and performance history. They must conservatively describe the true satellite behavior in order to be used to predict integrity. Constellation performance is monitored continually to ensure consistency with those commitments.

The results of offline monitoring of the γ_{nom} , t_{CORREL}, b_{nom0}, service level, and satellite mask will be included in future reports, if required, as standards evolve.

Table 2-1 is a copy of the integrity guarantee as defined in the GPS Standard Positioning Service (SPS) Performance Standard (PS) [2]. The SPS PS states that the probability of a satellite fault will be no greater than 10⁻⁵ per hour. The commitment further states that users will be notified of

major service failures, or the space vehicle (SV) will be removed from service, on average, within 1 hour.

Signal-in-Space (SIS) Integrity Standard	Conditions and Constraints
 Each SPS SIS Component Combination per Table 2.2-2 in the GPS SPS Performance Standard [2]: ≤1x10⁻⁵ Probability Over Any Hour of the SPS SIS Instantaneous User Range Error (URE) Exceeding the Not-To-Exceed (NTE) Tolerance Without a Timely Alert 	 Applies to any trackable and healthy SPS SIS SPS SIS URE NTE tolerance defined to be 4.42 times the relevant Integrity Assured User Range Accuracy (IAURA) value currently broadcast by the satellite Given that the maximum SPS SIS instantaneous URE did not exceed the NTE tolerance at the start of the hour Unalerted Misleading Signal Information (UMSI) occurs if no timely alert issued after SPS SIS URE NTE tolerance exceeded Worst case for delayed alert is 6 hours Neglecting single-frequency (SF) ionospheric delay model errors
Instantaneous Psat and Pconst	Conditions and Constraints
 ≤ 1x10⁻⁵ Fraction of Time When the SPS SIS Instantaneous URE Exceeds the NTE Tolerance Without a Timely Alert (P_{sat}) ≤ 1x10⁻⁸ Fraction of Time When the SPS SIS Instantaneous URE from Two or More Satellites Exceeds the NTE Tolerance Due to a Common Cause Without a Timely Alert (P_{const}) 	 Applies across all trackable and healthy SPS SIS SPS SIS URE NTE tolerance defined to be ±4.42 times the relevant IAURA value currently broadcast by the satellite Average case for delayed alert is 1 hour Neglecting SF ionospheric delay model errors

Table 2-1.	GPS SPS 1	Instantaneous	User Range	Error	Integrity	Standards
	UIDDIDI	motuntous	Cott itungt		inceging.	Standar as

2.1 Data

2.1.1 Data Source and Rate

The offline analysis in this report uses two sources of input data: the GPS broadcast navigation data and post-processed precise data. The broadcast navigation data consists of satellite orbit and clock parameters and includes URA values that indicate the expected level of accuracy. The precise data consists of GPS ephemerides and clock parameters. It is used as the truth reference and has an accuracy of approximately 10 centimeters [3].

A subset of the GPS broadcast legacy navigation (LNAV) data is available from the International GNSS Service (IGS) in Receiver Independent Exchange (RINEX) navigation file format [4]. Precise GPS ephemerides and clock are available from the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency (NGA) in the Standard Product #3 (SP3) format [3].

This report includes historical GPS constellation performance from January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2020. Data were analyzed for every 15-minute interval from January 1, 2008 to February 26, 2012 and every 5-minute interval from February 26, 2012 until December 31, 2020. All available data were analyzed during the period. The data intervals are determined by capabilities of the IGS and NGA data sources.

2.1.2 Data Collection and Cleansing

A customized tool is used to automate the data downloads on a daily basis. All data are protected by checksums and other basic integrity checks. GPS broadcast navigation data is downloaded from the two IGS archive sites: The Crustal Dynamics Data Information System (CDDIS) [5] and the Scripps Orbit and Permanent Array Center (SOPAC) [6]. Precise data is downloaded from the NGA server [7].

The broadcast navigation data, as received in RINEX format from IGS, sometimes contains defects such as duplications, inconsistencies, discrepancies, and errors that can cause false anomalies. A cleansing algorithm is applied to the IGS data to generate "validated" navigation messages, which have as many of these defects removed as possible. This process is based on the algorithm described by Heng [8].

2.1.3 Error Computation and Anomaly Detection

For each time step where precise data is available, the most recent prior validated broadcast navigation data is used to propagate the satellite orbits and clocks. To account for clock offset in the precise product, at each epoch, the clock residuals between healthy precise and broadcast products are filtered for outliers, then a mean correction is applied to the NGA precise clock estimate. At each data point for which both sources indicate a healthy signal and valid data within the fit interval, the satellite position error is determined by calculating the difference between the

NGA-derived reference value and the calculated, propagated satellite position, in Earth-Centered, Earth-Fixed (ECEF) coordinates. The errors are segregated into radial, along-track, and cross-track (RAC) errors. The satellite position error is also projected onto Earth at each epoch to produce the Maximum Projected Error (MPE), and projected along the lines of sight to individual user locations on Earth to produce User Projected Error (UPE). MPE and UPE are two forms of signal-in-space range error (SISRE) that are used to evaluate the error distributions.

In this report, 200 evenly distributed user locations around the globe were used to calculate UPE. This density was determined to be sufficient such that a value within 2 cm of the actual MPE will be observed at one or more of the user locations [9]. MPE is computed for each satellite, at each epoch. UPE is computed for each of the 200 user locations, for each satellite in view, for each epoch. A mask angle of 5 degrees is used for MPE and UPE computations. UPEs across satellites are also combined to create a position error at each of the 200 user locations, at each epoch, and a sum of squared residuals statistic is computed. Figure 2-1 shows the 200 user locations.



Figure 2-1. 200 User Locations

The GPS SPS PS considers an integrity error has occurred if the SISRE is greater than 4.42* URA (see Table 2-1). This report uses a conservative criterion, MPE, to determine if an error has occurred. A potential signal-in-space (SIS) anomaly is reported when MPE exceeds the 4.42* URA threshold.

Figure 2-2 shows an overview of data availability for the individual months in this quarter. The vertical axis identifies each satellite by their space vehicle number (SVN) and block type. Each horizontal line indicates health and status for an individual satellite. Green indicates that the

vehicle was set healthy, broadcast ephemeris were received, and a valid comparison to the precise ephemeris was obtained. Blue indicates that the vehicle was set unhealthy; therefore, no comparison was available. Purple indicates that no broadcast ephemeris was obtained from the IGS database, and orange indicates that the precise ephemeris was not obtained. In each of those cases, the state of the vehicle was undetermined. The final case, shown by a red circle, indicates that a fault anomaly was detected, and the event will be described in Table 2-11.



Figure 2-2. Satellite Data, 4th Quarter 2020

Table 2-2 shows the sample counts and the percentages of satellite data for this quarter. For this quarter, 813012 samples were evaluated with no fault detected. The data that were not evaluated corresponded to satellites flagged "Unhealthy." During the quarter, SVN 46 (pseudo-random noise (PRN)11) was set unhealthy and decommissioned on November 12 (see NANU 2020075). Also, several satellites were set to "Unusable" for short periods of time. These include SVN 76 (PRN 23 on October 1 (see NANU2020046); SVN 62 (PRN25) on October 1 (see NANU2020049); SVN 59 (PRN) 19 on October 8 (see NANU2020051); SVN 63 (PRN01) on October 16 (see NANU2020055); SVN 64 (PRN30 on October 20 (see NANU2020056); SVN 61 (PRN02 on October 22 (see NANU2020058); SVN 65 (PRN24 on October 27 (see NANU2020062); SVN 66 (PRN27 on October 28 (see NANU2020063); SVN 53 (PRN17 on October 29 (see NANU2020066); SVN 67 (PRN06 on November 2 (see NANU2020067); SVN 68 (PRN09 on November 4 (see NANU2020068); SVN 69 (PRN03 on November 9 (see NANU2020073); SVN 70 (PRN32 on November 10 (see NANU2020074); SVN 64 (PRN30 on November 13 (see NANU2020078); SVN 71 (PRN26 on November 16 (see NANU2020081); SVN 45 (PRN21 on November 18 (see NANU2020082); SVN 72 (PRN08 on November 20 (see NANU2020084); SVN 73 (PRN10 on November 23 (see NANU2020085; SVN 77 (PRN14 on December 2 (see NANU2020086); and SVN 48 (PRN7 on December 23 (see NANU2020088).

Data Evaluation	Number of Samples	Percentage of Total
Valid Data Evaluation	813012	99.755%
No Evaluation due to SV Unhealthy	1415	0.174%
No Evaluation due to Exceeding 4-hour Fit Interval	0	0.000%
No Evaluation due to Precise Data Event Flag	8	0.001%
No Evaluation due to Broadcast Data Unavailable	0	0.000%
No Evaluation due to Precise Data Unavailable	576	0.071%
Faults	0	0.000%

 Table 2-2. Satellite Data, 4th Quarter 2020

2.1.4 Data Partitioning

Performance is analyzed and presented using several data partitions to show various dependencies. These include time, the individual satellite, the satellite block type, the URA value, or combinations of these. Individual satellites may be identified using either an SVN or a PRN number. SVN, or sometimes SV, is an unambiguous number that is assigned when the spacecraft is built. PRNs are reused as vehicles are retired or added to the operational on-orbit constellation. This report uses the SVN to uniquely identify the individual SV. See Table 2-3 for the current PRN assignments this quarter, which are used in this report.

PRN	SV	Block Type
1	63	IIF
2	61	IIR
3	69	IIF
4	74	III
5	50	IIR-M
6	67	IIF
7	48	IIR-M
8	72	IIF
9	68	IIF
10	73	IIF
11	46	IIR
12	58	IIR-M
13	43	IIR
14	77	III
15	55	IIR-M
16	56	IIR
17	53	IIR-M
18	75	III
19	59	IIR
20	51	IIR
21	45	IIR
22	47	IIR
23	76	III
24	65	IIF
25	62	IIF
26	71	IIF
27	66	IIF
28	44	IIR
29	57	IIR-M
30	64	IIF
31	52	IIR-M
32	70	IIF

Table 2-3	PRN	Assignment	by	SVN
-----------	------------	------------	----	-----

2.1.5 Data Limitations

SIS anomalies are listed in Table 2-11. There are some limitations of SIS anomaly reporting due to potential errors in the source data or missing source data. Some limitations include:

- 1. False SIS anomalies may be reported due to errors in the precise ephemerides/clock or errors in the validated navigation messages.
- 2. Short-duration SIS anomalies may not be reported if they happen to fall within the 5- or 15-minute gaps of the precise ephemerides/clocks.
- 3. True SIS anomalies may not be detected if the precise ephemerides/clocks, or LNAV data, are temporarily missing or incorrect, for any reason.

2.1.6 Data Analysis

The goal of the data analysis process is to determine whether the behavior of the observed data is consistent with the underlying assumptions and the CSP commitments.

The first step in the process is to remove, as completely as possible, any errors which have been introduced by the data collection process itself. These include transmission errors, incomplete data sets from an individual source, conflicting data from separate sources, or any other known error type.

The next step is the nominal performance analysis, to ensure that the nominal observed error distributions are Gaussian and bounded by the URA, as defined in the SPS PS. The error characteristic is observed in the probability density function (PDF) plots of the RAC, clock, and SISRE errors.

A sigma overbounding and the ratio of the minimum overbounding sigma to the broadcast URA and the Gaussian curve are computed. A PDF of SISREs is used to observe the core of the error distribution, and a cumulative distribution function (CDF) is used to observe the tail behavior.

Correlation of errors is also checked to ensure that the individual ranging errors do not combine to form unexpectedly large position errors. The sum of the squares of the URA-normalized residuals is computed for each time step and user, and all samples are combined in a single distribution. Correlated residuals will be indicated by a sum of square residuals distribution that exceeds a chi-square distribution.

After the signal performance analysis, any potential faults are analyzed. That analysis will provide, at a minimum, the date, time, and duration of the fault, as well as the overall effect on fault rates and probabilities. A description of the potential fault will be provided, as well as the basis used to identify it. If possible, the initial cause of the fault will also be determined and described, along with any associated maintenance action taken.

The data in this report are presented using different views and data partitions to describe performance more completely. The different types of views include statistical plots, such as probability, residual error over time, histograms, one minus CDF (1-CDF) plots, and data tables, partitioned by satellite, block types, and composite. These views show: overbounding of the measured data by the integrity parameters, comparison of residuals (based on an independent reference) of orbital and clock parameters, presentation of range error in different units and coordinate systems, projected user errors, and comparison to historical performance.

2.2 Nominal Accuracy and URA Bounding

GPS satellites broadcast URA values to indicate the expected level of accuracy. The URA represents a 1-sigma value that conservatively characterizes the nominal signal accuracy. Offline monitoring of the URA parameter assesses the integrity of the ephemeris and clock data in the broadcast navigation messages, by evaluating the URA bounding performance of nominal, fault-free range errors. The observed error distributions are examined to evaluate how well the URA describes them.

2.2.1 Broadcast URA

Broadcast URA values are shown in Figure 2-3 and Table 2-4 for data from January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2020, and Figure 2-4 and Table 2-5 for the 4th Quarter 2020. The figures and tables show the relative frequency of different broadcast values by satellite, block type, and across the whole constellation.

The URA index of 1, corresponding to 2.4 m, is the most common URA value since January 1, 2008. This value was sent 92.035% of the time for all satellites, and the next most common index value of 2, corresponding to 3.4 m, was sent 7.225% of the time; both values combined accounted for 99.260% of all broadcast URA.

For this quarter, the URA value of 2.4 m is sent 92.667% of the time and 3.4 m is sent is 6.465% of the time; both values combined accounted for 99.132% of all broadcast URA.



Figure 2-3. Relative Frequency of URA, January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2020

	Relative Frequency (%) of Broadcast			
Block/SVN	URA Values (m)			
	2.4	3.4	4.85	6.85
ALL	92.055	7.201	0.708	0.028
BLOCK IIF	92.920	6.881	0.166	0.017
BLOCK IIR-A	90.022	8.217	1.719	0.037
BLOCK IIR-B	91.262	7.621	1.073	0.039
BLOCK IIR-M	93.075	6.597	0.293	0.027
BLOCK III	95.429	3.983	0.588	N/A
43	94.465	5.454	0.059	0.011
44	69.557	22.946	7.483	0.010
45	92.470	6.124	1.366	0.041
46	90.455	8.151	1.273	0.120
47	81.774	15.263	2.912	0.048
48	93.269	5.250	1.395	0.084
50	97.883	2.031	0.064	0.011
51	96.999	2.896	0.084	0.015
52	94.007	5.557	0.391	0.030
53	85.535	14.384	0.058	0.009
55	96.247	3.710	0.028	0.016
56	96.206	3.718	0.043	0.028
57	88.831	11.068	0.080	0.013
58	96.013	3.925	0.035	0.027
59	97.000	2.927	0.033	0.035
61	95.010	4.678	0.276	0.034
62	95.984	3.932	0.072	0.009
63	94.250	5.569	0.160	0.010
64	94.712	5.213	0.054	0.021
65	74.385	25.218	0.376	0.020
66	95.860	4.125	0.007	0.007
67	97.874	2.007	0.060	0.034
68	96.393	3.525	0.045	0.020
69	91.712	7.357	0.850	0.039
70	95.377	4.443	0.082	0.036
71	94.866	5.044	0.044	0.012
72	89.050	10.696	0.236	0.008
73	98.292	1.699	0.009	N/A
74	99.194	0.799	0.007	N/A
75	95.249	4.599	0.152	N/A
76	89.573	8.225	2.202	N/A
77	70.566	22.931	6.504	N/A

 Table 2-4. Relative Frequency of URA, January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2020



Figure 2-4. Relative Frequency of URA, 4th Quarter 2020

Block/SVN	Relative Frequency (%) of Broadcast URA Values (m)			
	2.4 3.4 4.85			6.85
ALL	92.66665	6.464972	0.855707	0.012669
BLOCK IIF	91.79257	8.095782	0.105345	0.006308
BLOCK IIR-A	89.00903	7.346746	3.644225	N/A
BLOCK IIR-B	97.54932	2.280492	0.065553	0.104633
BLOCK IIR-M	94.40382	5.498684	0.097494	N/A
BLOCK III	93.75995	4.943355	1.296692	N/A
43	98.99607	1.003925	N/A	N/A
44	54.22705	26.0077	19.76525	N/A
45	94.07688	5.919333	0.003787	N/A
46	95.65923	4.332223	0.008545	N/A
47	99.58481	0.415188	N/A	N/A
48	94.35547	5.12362	0.520914	N/A
50	99.71313	0.286868	N/A	N/A
51	98.83752	1.162483	N/A	N/A
52	95.85598	4.144022	N/A	N/A
53	87.5913	12.23463	0.174078	N/A
55	99.16591	0.834088	N/A	N/A
56	95.98807	3.966636	0.04529	N/A
57	87.94912	12.05088	N/A	N/A
58	96.17678	3.823219	N/A	N/A
59	95.93899	3.561426	0.185452	0.314132
61	97.11841	2.870234	0.01136	N/A
62	97.02565	2.974347	N/A	N/A
63	80.30784	19.66947	0.022691	N/A
64	94.7923	4.94997	0.181928	0.075804
65	67.28573	31.65519	1.059082	N/A
66	94.50475	5.495254	N/A	N/A
67	95.80042	4.199584	N/A	N/A
68	99.07001	0.929986	N/A	N/A
69	99.41043	0.589569	N/A	N/A
70	98.97184	1.028161	N/A	N/A
71	95.89051	4.109485	N/A	N/A
72	80.32502	19.67498	N/A	N/A
73	98.17453	1.825466	N/A	N/A
74	99.48671	0.513285	N/A	N/A
75	99.73958	0.260417	N/A	N/A
76	89.57335	8.22496	2.201688	N/A
77	70.56573	22.93067	6.503594	N/A

 Table 2-5. Relative Frequency of URA, 4th Quarter 2020

2.2.2 Nominal Accuracy

Orbit, clock, and projected range errors are shown in this section, first for the current quarter and then for the historical data for GPS beginning January 1, 2008. The errors consist of RAC, clock, and the aggregated SISREs across 200 user locations, for the quarter. Table 2-6 shows the mean, 68%, and 95% values for RAC, clock, and SISRE errors by satellite, block type, and the aggregated total. The maximum SISRE mean was 0.335 m on SVN 64, the maximum SISRE 68% value was 1.240 m on SVN 65, and the maximum SISRE 95% was 2.400 m on SVN 65.

Figure 2-5 shows plots of the same values by satellite and in composite. The green segment represents the (68%) area of the error distribution, and the upper and lower fences represent the (95%) area of the error distribution. The center tick value is the mean of the nominal error distribution (satellite range errors exceeding 4.42 times URA are excluded from this nominal assessment.) The scale for each parameter is adjusted to focus on the range of most interest.

Figure 2-6 shows the aggregated PDF of the same errors. For this quarter, the majority of the errors appear nearly Gaussian, with the radial errors as the smallest and along-track errors as the largest. The aggregated SISRE across 200 user locations and clock errors agree fairly well. There are significantly larger errors below the 10⁻⁵ level. These are consistent with the SPS PS, which guarantees performance to the 10⁻⁵ level.

SVN	Block	Radial		Along-track		Cross-Track		Clock			SISRE					
511	DIUCK	mean	68%	95%	mean	68%	95%	mean	68%	95%	mean	68%	95%	mean	68%	95%
43		0.014	0.120	0.240	0.155	0.840	1.800	019	0.560	1.160	040	0.360	0.800	0.053	0.380	0.840
44		003	0.160	0.280	0.119	0.720	1.520	045	0.520	1.080	041	0.800	1.960	0.038	0.800	1.960
45	BLOCK	0.094	0.240	0.400	030	1.560	3.080	011	0.920	1.560	016	0.280	0.520	0.108	0.380	0.800
46	IIR-A	081	0.160	0.360	1.235	1.600	2.800	012	0.480	0.960	214	0.400	0.880	0.135	0.440	0.920
51		0.008	0.120	0.240	0.256	0.840	1.680	0.038	0.680	1.160	141	0.280	0.560	0.149	0.320	0.620
56		0.048	0.160	0.280	692	1.000	1.800	068	0.600	1.120	0.015	0.240	0.440	0.032	0.260	0.540
47		0.030	0.200	0.320	287	0.680	1.480	007	0.520	0.880	0.051	0.280	0.520	022	0.260	0.560
59	BLOCK IIR-B	032	0.160	0.280	0.700	1.080	2.200	043	0.360	0.760	105	0.280	0.520	0.073	0.280	0.600
61	III D	0.054	0.160	0.320	358	1.080	2.520	024	0.560	1.280	0.005	0.280	0.520	0.049	0.320	0.680
48		012	0.200	0.320	0.012	0.920	1.960	0.042	0.600	1.200	0.004	0.440	0.960	016	0.480	0.980
50		0.009	0.200	0.360	0.103	1.000	1.920	020	0.520	1.000	0.006	0.280	0.600	0.003	0.320	0.640
52	DI 6 611	0.024	0.120	0.280	042	0.760	1.640	0.018	0.480	0.880	035	0.440	1.000	0.058	0.420	1.000
53	BLOCK IIR-M	0.054	0.200	0.360	507	1.040	2.240	067	0.960	1.640	0.059	0.520	1.520	006	0.560	1.500
55	IIIC IVI	0.010	0.160	0.280	0.038	0.680	1.520	0.028	0.560	1.000	0.049	0.240	0.440	039	0.240	0.500
57		0.006	0.120	0.240	0.300	0.680	1.400	012	0.440	0.880	-058	0.360	0.920	0.064	0.380	0.960
58		0.005	0.160	0.280	0.389	0.920	1.760	058	0.480	0.920	020	0.240	0.480	0.024	0.280	0.560
62		005	0.160	0.280	0.101	0.960	1.840	035	0.440	0.800	111	0.320	0.560	0.107	0.360	0.700
63		0.003	0.200	0.360	078	0.920	1.960	0.000	0.680	1.240	0.057	0.320	0.640	054	0.380	0.760
64		0.052	0.200	0.400	492	0.920	2.000	044	0.400	1.000	0.386	0.560	0.840	335	0.560	0.940
65		0.005	0.240	0.440	0.279	1.040	2.120	044	0.520	1.080	0.030	1.240	2.360	025	1.240	2.400
66		063	0.200	0.360	0.880	1.120	2.160	025	0.400	0.760	075	0.200	0.400	0.013	0.280	0.580
67	BLOCK	0.027	0.200	0.360	405	0.960	1.920	0.011	0.600	1.000	0.088	0.280	0.520	062	0.340	0.680
68	IIF	0.046	0.120	0.240	156	0.640	1.360	0.011	0.400	0.760	080	0.240	0.560	0.126	0.280	0.620
69		001	0.160	0.280	0.235	0.800	1.640	0.021	0.520	1.000	0.174	0.360	0.640	175	0.360	0.760
70		015	0.160	0.280	0.368	0.840	1.800	008	0.440	0.920	067	0.240	0.440	0.052	0.300	0.620
71		0.015	0.200	0.360	0.177	0.840	1.760	-092	0.680	1.160	0.031	0.240	0.480	016	0.320	0.660
72		001	0.240	0.440	016	1.040	2.000	048	0.720	1.360	097	1.000	2.080	0.096	1.020	2.140
73		0.058	0.200	0.320	487	0.960	1.920	0.008	0.880	1.600	019	0.440	0.800	0.076	0.480	0.920

 Table 2-6. Radial, Cross-Track, Along-Track, and SISRE Errors, 4th Quarter 2020

SVN	Block	Radial		Along-track		Cross-Track		Clock			SISRE					
		mean	68%	95%	mean	68%	95%	mean	68%	95%	mean	68%	95%	mean	68%	95%
74		0.150	0.200	0.320	064	0.680	1.320	0.055	0.440	0.920	0.094	0.240	0.440	0.052	0.240	0.480
75	BLOCK	0.006	0.200	0.320	109	0.960	1.800	035	0.720	1.240	045	0.200	0.400	0.051	0.280	0.560
76	III	003	0.120	0.200	0.301	0.800	1.760	007	0.560	0.960	016	0.200	0.400	0.013	0.240	0.500
77		037	0.280	0.440	0.274	0.960	2.280	078	0.680	1.080	036	0.320	0.640	0.000	0.420	0.880



Figure 2-5. Radial, Cross-Track, Along-Track, and SISRE Errors Box Plot, 4th Quarter 2020



Figure 2-6. Radial, Cross-Track, Along-Track, and SISRE Errors (PDF), 4th Quarter 2020

Historical performance data are presented by satellite for all available data since January 1, 2008. Monthly mean SISRE errors are shown in Figure 2-7 through Figure 2-10, and the monthly 95% error bounds are shown in Figure 2-11 to Figure 2-14. Table 2-7 shows the absolute value of the minimum and maximum of the monthly means and 95% values for all available data. The monthly means were mostly under 0.5 m with the high value of 0.904 m on SVN 63, and the monthly 95% values were mostly under 2.5 m with the high value of 2.780 m on SVN 48.

•



Figure 2-7. Monthly Mean SISRE for SVN 43 to SVN 51, January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2020



Figure 2-8. Monthly Mean SISRE for SVN 52 to SVN 61, January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2020



Figure 2-9. Monthly Mean SISRE for SVN 62 to 69, January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2020



Figure 2-10. Monthly Mean SISRE for SVN 70 to 77, January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2020



Figure 2-11. Monthly 95% SISRE for SVN 43 to SVN 51, January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2020



Figure 2-12. Monthly 95% SISRE for SVN 52 to SVN 61, January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2020



Figure 2-13. Monthly 95% SISRE for SVN 62 to SVN 69, January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2020



Figure 2-14. Monthly 95% SISRE for SVN 70 to SVN 77 January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2020

CUN	Mea	n (m)	95% (m)			
SVN	Max	Min	Max	Min		
43	0.242	0.000	1.280	0.620		
44	0.352	0.000	2.580	1.380		
45	0.182	0.000	1.680	0.540		
46	0.287	0.000	1.840	0.660		
47	0.283	0.002	2.100	0.420		
48	0.821	0.000	2.780	0.700		
50	0.153	0.000	1.960	0.480		
51	0.218	0.000	1.160	0.480		
52	0.267	0.000	1.860	0.800		
53	0.359	0.001	2.140	0.880		
55	0.195	0.000	0.920	0.420		
56	0.265	0.000	0.900	0.460		
57	0.314	0.000	2.060	0.860		
58	0.254	0.000	1.180	0.500		
59	0.244	0.000	0.900	0.460		
61	0.629	0.002	2.200	0.520		
62	0.312	0.000	1.040	0.480		
63	0.904	0.001	2.260	0.460		
64	0.379	0.001	2.100	0.400		
65	0.382	0.005	2.680	1.440		
66	0.217	0.001	1.180	0.480		
67	0.383	0.000	1.160	0.400		
68	0.261	0.001	1.260	0.420		
69	0.633	0.001	1.500	0.440		
70	0.203	0.001	1.680	0.400		
71	0.488	0.002	1.880	0.440		
72	0.286	0.002	2.400	1.580		
73	0.508	0.002	1.460	0.460		
74	0.191	0.010	0.600	0.440		
75	0.129	0.003	0.620	0.440		
76	0.097	0.004	0.500	0.500		
77	0.000	0.000	0.880	0.880		

Table 2-7. Monthly Mean and 95% SISRE, January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2020

Monthly mean and 95% SISREs for each satellite for this quarter are shown in Figure 2-15 to Figure 2-22, and the minimum and maximum values are shown in Table 2-8 All of the means were under the absolute value of 0.4 m, with the high value of 0.372 m on SVN 64. The majority of 95% values were at or below 2.5 m with the high value of 2.500 m on SVN 65.



Figure 2-15. Monthly Mean SISRE for SVN 43 to SVN 51, 4th Quarter 2020



Figure 2-16. Monthly Mean SISRE for SVN 52 to SVN61, 4th Quarter 2020



Figure 2-17. Monthly Mean SISRE for SVN 62 to SVN 69, 4th Quarter 2020



Figure 2-18. Monthly Mean SISRE for SVN 70 to SVN 77, 4th Quarter 2020



Figure 2-19. Monthly 95% SISRE for SVN 43 to SVN 51, 4th Quarter 2020



Figure 2-20. Monthly 95% SISRE for SVN 52 to SVN 61, 4th Quarter 2020



Figure 2-21. Monthly 95% SISRE for SVN 62to SVN 69, 4th Quarter 2020



Figure 2-22. Monthly 95% SISRE for SVN 70 to SVN 77, 4th Quarter 2020

GUN	Mear	n (m)	95% (m)			
SVN	Max	Min	Max	Min		
43	0.139	0.025	0.900	0.760		
44	0.166	0.010	2.120	1.860		
45	0.175	0.047	0.860	0.700		
46	0.147	0.132	0.960	0.800		
47	0.095	0.043	0.600	0.500		
48	0.034	0.001	1.020	0.960		
50	0.067	0.000	0.660	0.600		
51	0.218	0.099	0.680	0.580		
52	0.167	0.050	1.100	0.900		
53	0.155	0.065	1.720	1.260		
55	0.102	0.022	0.540	0.440		
56	0.080	0.025	0.560	0.520		
57	0.107	0.021	1.100	0.860		
58	0.054	0.034	0.620	0.520		
59	0.152	0.021	0.680	0.480		
61	0.080	0.017	0.760	0.620		
62	0.275	0.090	0.740	0.660		
63	0.160	0.073	0.860	0.720		
64	0.372	0.313	1.080	0.820		
65	0.123	0.031	2.500	2.240		
66	0.084	0.020	0.600	0.560		
67	0.161	0.039	0.760	0.600		
68	0.144	0.099	1.020	0.540		
69	0.288	0.086	0.940	0.500		
70	0.087	0.001	0.740	0.580		
71	0.035	0.012	0.700	0.580		
72	0.115	0.084	2.380	1.960		
73	0.330	0.188	0.960	0.880		
74	0.096	0.020	0.540	0.440		
75	0.129	0.003	0.620	0.540		
76	0.097	0.004	0.500	0.500		
77	0.000	0.000	0.880	0.880		

 Table 2-8. Monthly Mean and 95% SISRE, 4th Quarter 2020

2.2.3 URA Bounding of Nominal Accuracy

Nominal range error distribution is examined to evaluate how well the URA described the observed error distribution and to ensure that the Gaussian assumption is valid. MPE and UPE error distributions are evaluated in this section.

The MPE can take on the value of zero if the three orbital errors and the clock error are all simultaneously zero. The MPE can also sometimes switch rapidly between positive and negative values as the corresponding projections change. As a result, the MPE distribution is bimodal with a notch at zero and is not expected to be Gaussian even if all the underlying distributions were Gaussian. However, the UPE distribution will be Gaussian, both at each individual user location and aggregated across all user locations, if the underlying errors are Gaussian. Although the MPE distribution is not expected to be Gaussian, it is well suited to describe the tail behavior. MPE and UPE PDF and CDF are used to assess the error distribution [9].

Figure 2-23 shows the PDF MPE normalized by the URA across the constellation. The notch at zero is expected, as described above. Figure 2-24 to Figure 2-27 show 1-CDF of the normalized MPE errors by satellite, and they are shown by block type in Figure 2-28. The thick red line shows the expected value corresponding to the normal distribution with zero-mean and unit variance. This line is only extended down to the 10⁻⁵ probability level, which is the specified satellite fault rate in the GPS SPS PS. Gaussian bounding below this line is not required.



Figure 2-23. PDF Normalized MPE Composite, 4th Quarter 2020



Figure 2-24. 1-CDF Normalized MPE for SVN 43 to SVN 51, 4th Quarter 2020



Figure 2-25. 1-CDF Normalized MPE for SVN 52 to SVN 61, 4th Quarter 2020



Figure 2-26. 1-CDF Normalized MPE for SVN 62 to 69, 4th Quarter 2020



Figure 2-27. 1-CDF Normalized MPE for SVN 70 to SVN 77, 4th Quarter 2020



Figure 2-28. 1-CDF Normalized MPE by Block Type, 4th Quarter 2020

Figure 2-29 shows the PDF of the composite normalized SISREs, which combines the instantaneous SISREs of all satellites at all 200 locations in the GPS constellation. Figure 2-30 to Figure 2-33 show the 1-CDF of the normalized SISRE errors combined across all 200 locations by satellite, and they are shown by block type in Figure 2-34. The thick red line represents the normal distribution with zero-mean and unity variance. This line is only extended down to the 10^{-5} probability level, which is the specified satellite fault rate in the GPS SPS PS. Gaussian bounding below this line is not required.

In this quarter, the nominal SISRE errors were conservatively described by the broadcast URA.



Figure 2-29. PDF Normalized SISRE Composite, 4th Quarter 2020



Figure 2-30. 1-CDF Normalized SISRE for SVN 43 to SVN 51, 4th Quarter 2020



Figure 2-31. 1-CDF Normalized SISRE for SVN 52 to SVN 61, 4th Quarter 2020



Figure 2-32. 1-CDF Normalized SISRE for SVN 62 to SVN 69, 4th Quarter 2020



Figure 2-33. 1-CDF Normalized SISRE for SVN 70 to SVN 77, 4th Quarter 2020



Figure 2-34. 1-CDF Normalized SISRE by Block Type, 4th Quarter 2020

The overbounding sigma and the ratio of the overbound sigma to the broadcast URA are examined to evaluate how well the nominal errors are bounded by the broadcast URA, and to what degree of margin. This evaluation attempts to separate the effect of quantization of the URA values from the ground system's ability to estimate the internal quantized value. Previous work has found that GPS increases the URA faster than the error actually increases; therefore, lumping all URA values together in the evaluation gave better results, which may be optimistic. More details on error bounding may be found in previous works [9],[13]. Since the URA value of 2.4 m is the most

frequently broadcast value at over 92.6% of the time this quarter, bounding is evaluated for errors when URA is 2.4 m and for the aggregated URA values. The ratio of less than 1 indicates the broadcast URA bounds the nominal error with a margin.

The ratio of the overbounding sigma to the broadcast URA is shown in Table 2-9. This ratio, or alpha value, is the smallest margin of the actual distribution to the Gaussian distribution. The overbounding sigma is formed by finding the minimum Gaussian value that bounds the SISRE CDF for each satellite at each error value. Alpha is the ratio between the points on the Gaussian curve and observed error curve with the smallest difference. Small regions of the curves are ignored during evaluation to account for convergence around the origin. The Y-axis data must be between 0.000095 and 0.5, and the X-axis data must be between 0.1 and 4.42. Figure 2-35 shows the ratio of the bounding sigma for URA at 2.4 m only. Table 2-9 shows the ratio of the bounding sigma to the broadcast URA of 2.4 m and for the combined broadcast URA values for each satellite.

For this quarter, the alpha values for the URA of 2.4 m only were slightly more conservative compared to the alpha values of the combined URAs. The largest alpha value was 0.520 on SVN 64 The bounding sigmas were below 1.25 m for all satellites, indicating a significant margin of URA bounding nominal errors.

SVN	Ratio for URA=2.4m Only	Ratio for All URA's		
43	0.185	0.185		
44	0.269	0.276		
45	0.186	0.186		
46	0.229	0.228		
47	0.136	0.136		
48	0.232	0.230		
50	0.139	0.139		
51	0.143	0.143		
52	0.249	0.249		
53	0.423	0.418		
55	0.117	0.117		
56	0.124	0.123		
57	0.362	0.359		
58	0.125	0.125		
59	0.134	0.133		
61	0.199	0.198		
62	0.164	0.164		
63	0.173	0.172		
64	0.520	0.520		
65	0.475	0.465		
66	0.128	0.127		
67	0.159	0.155		
68	0.333	0.333		
69	0.276	0.276		
70	0.242	0.242		
71	0.229	0.226		
72	0.437	0.424		
73	0.211	0.211		
74	0.110	0.110		
75	0.130	0.130		
76	0.111	0.111		
77	0.171	0.167		

 Table 2-9. Ratio of Bounding Sigma to URA, 4th Quarter 2020



Figure 2-35. Ratio of Bounding Sigma for URA = 2.4 m, 4th Quarter 2020

2.2.4 URA Bounding of Nominal Position Accuracy

Nominal position errors are examined to ensure that individual satellite range errors, when combined, do not create position errors larger than the ones expected, assuming independent Gaussian range errors with a standard deviation given by the URA (the nominal error model), which is what the user assumes. It is important to assess the nominal position bounding for any possible user weights, because not all users will apply the same weights when combining the range errors. This can be achieved by examining the sum of the squares (SS) of the URA-normalized satellite range errors (satellite range errors exceeding 4.42* URA are excluded from this nominal assessment).

The SS has two important properties. First, it is chi-square distributed assuming the nominal error model. Second, its square root is an upper bound of the ratio between the user error and the standard deviation as computed assuming the nominal error model [10, 11]. The observed SS distribution

is expected to be well bounded by a chi-square distribution. If it is not, it means that the correlation in the measurements will cause the position errors to be larger than expected for some users. If the observed distribution is bounded by a unit Gaussian (for a range of probabilities), then the position errors are guaranteed to be bounded by a Gaussian with the expected standard deviation.

The SS is computed at each time epoch at the 200 user locations. It is obtained by subtracting a common-mode error from each SISRE (because this common error has no effect on the position error), normalizing each SISRE by the URA, and summing the squares of the resulting ratios.

Figure 2-36 shows the 1-CDF of the SS sample distribution (blue line), chi-square distribution (dotted red line), and zero mean unit Gaussian distribution (solid red line). The SS sample distribution is bounded by the chi-square distribution with very ample margin. This indicates that the errors are consistent with an error model that is bounded by the nominal error model. In addition, the SS sample distribution is bounded by the Gaussian for all probabilities below 70%; this corresponds to the point in the CDFs in Figure 2-36 where the unit Gaussian (solid red curve) crosses the SS statistic (blue curve). Using the result outlined above, this means that those probabilities derived from the nominal error model are guaranteed to bound the distribution of the position error. This indicates that the un-faulted positioning errors are bounded by the nominal error model with significant margin.



Figure 2-36. 1-CDF Chi-Square of Normalized SISREs, 4th Quarter 2020

2.3 Fault Probability

At the time of this writing, no final decision was made on the format of the ISM message. In particular, fault rate information may be conveyed as an actual fault rate or as a probability of failure over a specified period of time. In this report, we present the fault rate only. The state fault probability can be easily obtained by multiplying the fault rate by the MFD. As the standardization process evolves, the approved approach or approaches will be presented in this section.

The critical fault parameters for ARAIM are the rate or state probability of single satellite faults, R_{sat} and P_{sat} , respectively; the rate or state probability of multiple simultaneous faults, called a constellation fault, R_{const} or P_{const} , respectively; and MFD. These three parameters are based upon GPS CSP commitments and are supported by observational history. This section assesses the GPS constellation observed fault rates and MFD to ensure that they are consistent with CSP commitments (see Table 2-1). To do so requires the evaluation of GPS fault history, including satellite and constellation fault rates based on actual historical data.

Table 2-10 lists the known GPS constellation faults and durations since January 1, 2008. These faults have been discussed in a previous paper [10].

SVN	PRN	Date	Time of Fault (UTC)	Fault Duration	Description
25	25	6/26/2009	09:05 09:45	40 minutes	A clock jumped 20 m at 09:05 causing the error to exceed 4.42*URA. URA was 2.4 m. Orbit was not affected and the satellite was healthy. At 09:45, 155 of 156 IGS stations stopped tracking the satellite. Normal tracking of the satellite was resumed at 10:23 with a new ephemeris update that set the satellite to unhealthy. The lone receiver had only started tracking the satellite at 09:44:30 with discontinuities in pseudorange.

Table 2-10. GPS Faults from January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2020

SVN	PRN	Date	Time of Fault (UTC)	Fault Duration	Description
38	8	11/5/2009	18:45 19:02	17 minutes	A clock ramp occurred at 18:15 causing the error to exceed 4.42*URA at 18:44:45. URA was 2.4m. Orbit was not affected and the satellite was healthy. All 135 IGS stations stopped tracking the satellite at 19:02. At approximately 19:28, regular transmission resumed with a new ephemeris update that set the satellite to unhealthy.
30	30	02/22/2010	20:45 20:52	7 minutes	A clock ramp occurred at 20:30 causing the error to exceed 4.42*URA at 20:45. URA was 2.4 m. Orbit was not affected and the satellite was healthy. All 108 IGS stations stopped tracking the satellite at 20:52. At approximately 2:15, regular transmission resumed with a new ephemeris update that set the satellite to unhealthy.
39	9	04/25/2010	19:40 _ 19:55	15 minutes	At 19:26, a new ephemeris update introduced a 40 m cross-track error with the URA=2.4 m. The MPE error barely exceeded the 4.42*URA at 19:40. The fault was corrected at 19:55 with a new ephemeris update. The satellite health was set to healthy the entire time.
59	19	06/17/2012	00:10 _ 00:36	26 minutes	At 00:10, a new ephemeris update introduced a 1700 m cross-track error with the URA=2.4 m. The MPE error exceeded the 4.42*URA. The fault was corrected at 00:37 with a new ephemeris update. The satellite health was set to healthy the entire time.

Each of the 5 known faults lasted less than 1 hour and the average across all 5 faults was 21 minutes. Therefore, the committed value of MFD of 1 hour appears to be valid and conservative.

Independent, single satellite faults are referred to as narrow faults, and simultaneous or overlapping satellite faults that originate from a common cause, within a single constellation, are referred to as

wide faults. The narrow fault rate computation uses a 30-satellite constellation and takes into account the historical satellite faults listed in Table 2-10. Figure 2-37 shows the times of historical single-fault events and their effect on the fault rate. The rates are shown for different time windows computed at 6-month increments, with no satellite fault since 2012. The fault rate determination has been discussed in previous work [12], and the method recommended in the previous work suggested taking the maximum value of the sliding 48-month average, which corresponds to a number well below 10⁻⁵.

In this quarter, no satellite or constellation fault events were observed.



Figure 2-37. Estimated Satellite Narrow Fault Rate

2.3.1 List of Events

Table 2-11 lists all events for this quarter. Events include potential SIS anomalies and any other issues that occurred during this reporting period. Analyses of events that merit more detailed investigations are documented at <u>https://www.nstb.tc.faa.gov/araim-archive.html</u> and by hyperlink in Table 2-11.

SVN	PRN	Start	End	Description
76	23	01-Oct-20 16:27 UTC	N/A	SVN76 (PRN23) became usable. See <u>NANU2020046.</u>
62	25	01-Oct-20 19:16 UTC	02-Oct-20 23:35 UTC	SVN62 (PRN25) was set unusable. See <u>NANU2020049.</u>
59	19	08-Oct-20 08:47 UTC	08-Oct-20 14:58 UTC	SVN59 (PRN19) was set unusable. See <u>NANU2020051</u> .
63	1	16-Oct-20 12:19 UTC	16-Oct-20 16:49 UTC	SVN63 (PRN01) was set unusable. See <u>NANU2020055.</u>
64	30	20-Oct-20 12:33 UTC	20-Oct-20 16:54 UTC	SVN64 (PRN30) was set unusable. See <u>NANU2020056.</u>
61	2	22-Oct-20 13:12 UTC	22-Oct-20 20:27 UTC	SVN61 (PRN02) was set unusable. See <u>NANU2020058.</u>
65	24	27-Oct-20 14:37 UTC	27-Oct-20 19:26 UTC	SVN65 (PRN24) was set unusable. See <u>NANU2020062.</u>
66	27	28-Oct-20 12:36 UTC	28-Oct-20 17:13 UTC	SVN66 (PRN27) was set unusable. See <u>NANU2020063.</u>
53	17	29-Oct-20 22:40 UTC	30-Oct-20 04:38 UTC	SVN53 (PRN17) was set unusable. See <u>NANU2020066.</u>
67	6	02-Nov-20 12:55 UTC	02-Nov-20 16:25 UTC	SVN67 (PRN06) was set unusable. See <u>NANU2020067.</u>
68	9	04-Nov-20 13:03 UTC	04-Nov-20 16:41 UTC	SVN68 (PRN09) was set unusable. See <u>NANU2020068.</u>
69	3	09-Nov-20 16:39 UTC	09-Nov-20 19:39 UTC	SVN69 (PRN03) was set unusable. See <u>NANU2020073.</u>
70	32	10-Nov-20 12:13 UTC	10-Nov-20 15:38 UTC	SVN70 (PRN32) was set unusable. See <u>NANU2020074.</u>
46	11	10-Nov-20 15:14 UTC	N/A	SVN46 (PRN11) was decommissioned. See <u>NANU2020075.</u>
64	30	13-Nov-20 00:22 UTC	13-Nov-20 05:24 UTC	SVN64 (PRN30) was set unusable. See <u>NANU2020078.</u>

SVN	PRN	Start	End	Description
71	71 26	16-Nov-20	17-Nov-20	SVN71 (PRN26) was set unusable. See
/1	20	20:38 UTC	00:25 UTC	<u>NANU2020081.</u>
15	21	18-Nov-20	18-Nov-20	SVN45 (PRN21) was set unusable. See
43	21	07:16 UTC	14:49 UTC	<u>NANU2020082.</u>
72	Q	20-Nov-20	20-Nov-20	SVN71 (PRN08) was set unusable. See
12	0	12:45 UTC	15:49 UTC	<u>NANU2020084.</u>
72	10	23-Nov-20	23-Nov-20	SVN73 (PRN10) was set unusable. See
75	10	20:08 UTC	23:11 UTC	<u>NANU2020085.</u>
77	14	02-Dec-20	N/A	SVN77 (PRN14) became usable. See
//	14	01:07 UTC	IN/A	<u>NANU2020086.</u>
18	7	23-Dec-20	25-Dec-20	SVN48 (PRN07) was set unusable. See
40	/	03:25 UTC	16:15 UTC	<u>NANU2020088.</u>

References

[1] ARAIM Concept of Operation, Working Group C Service Evolution Subgroup, April 23, 2018.

[2] Department of Defense, Global Positioning System Standard Position Service Performance Standard, 5th Edition, April 2020.

[3] National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency, NGA/GPS 5 Minute Ephemeris SP3 Format Description, found at <u>https://earth-info.nga.mil/GandG/sathtml/sp3format.html, February 26, 2012.</u>

[4] International GNSS Service (IGS), "RINEX The Receiver Independent Exchange Format," Version 3.03, July 14 2015. Available at <u>https://kb.igs.org/hc/en-us/articles/201096516-IGS-Formats</u>

[5] National Aeronautics and Space Administration, CDDIS, <u>https://cddis.nasa.gov/</u>, last accessed June 2, 2020.

[6] Scripps Orbit and Permanent Array Center/California Spatial Reference Center, http://<u>http://sopac-csrc.ucsd.edu/</u>, last accessed on June 2, 2020.

[7] National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency, GPS and Earth Orientation Products, <u>https://earth-info.nga.mil/GandG/update/index.php?action=home#tab_gnss</u>, last accessed on November9, 2020.

[8] L. Heng, "Safe Satellite Navigation with Multiple Constellations: Global Monitoring of GPS and GLONASS Signal-in-Space Anomalies," 2012.

[9] Walter, T., Gunning, K., Phelts, E., and Blanch, J., "Validation of Unfaulted Error Bounds for ARAIM," NAVIGATION Journal of The Institute of Navigation, February 2018.

[10] Walter, T. and Blanch, J., "Characterization of GNSS Clock and Ephemeris Errors to Support ARAIM," Proceedings of the ION 2015 Pacific PNT Meeting, Honolulu, Hawaii, April 2015.

[11] Walter, T., Blanch, J., Enge, P., "Evaluation of Signal in Space Error Bounds to Support Aviation Integrity", *NAVIGATION, Journal of The Institute of Navigation*, Vol. 57, No. 2, Summer 2010, pp. 101-113.

[12] Walter, T., Blanch, J., Joerger, M., and Pervan, B., "Determination of Fault Probabilities for ARAIM," Proceedings of IEEE/ION PLANS 2016, Savannah, Georgia, April 2016.

[13] J. Blanch, T. Walter and P. Enge, "Gaussian Bounds of Sample Distributions for Integrity Analysis," in *IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems*, vol. 55, no. 4, pp. 1806-1815, Aug. 2019, doi: 10.1109/TAES.2018.2876583.

APPENDIX A: GLOSSARY AND ACRONYMS

- ARAIM Advanced Receiver Autonomous Integrity Monitoring
- CDDIS Crustal Dynamics Data Information System (IGS archive site)
- CDF Cumulative distribution function
- CSP Constellation Service Provider
- ECEF Earth-Centered, Earth-Fixed
- FAA Federal Aviation Administration
- GNSS Global Navigation Satellite Systems
- GPS Global Positioning System
- IAURA Integrity Assured User Range Accuracy. The IAURA is a conservative representation of the upper bound on each satellite's expected root mean square (RMS) URE performance over the curve fit interval represented by the NAV data from which the URA is read.
- IGS International GNSS Service
- ISM Integrity Support Message
- LNAV Legacy Navigation Message
- MFD Mean fault duration
- MPE Maximum projected error
- MSI Misleading Signal-in-Space Information
- NGA National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency
- NSC Non-Standard Code. The non-standard codes (NSCs) are used to protect the user from SIS malfunctions. Non-standard codes are not trackable by SPS receivers, which are compliant with the GPS ISs/ICDs.
- NTE Not to Exceed (i.e., tolerance limit)
- PAN Performance Analysis Report
- P_{const} Probability of multiple satellites being in a common-cause MSI faulted state at a given time.
- P_{sat} Probability of a satellite being in an MSI faulted state at a given time
- PDF Probability density function
- PRN Pseudo-Random Noise
- PS Performance Standard

RAC	Radial-along track-cross track. Orbital coordinate system.
RINEX	Receiver Independent Exchange
RMS	Root mean square
SIS	Signal-in-Space
SISRE	Signal-in-Space Range Error
SOPAC	Scripps Orbit and Permanent Array Center (IGS archive site)
SP3	Standard Product #3
SPS	Standard Positioning Service. The GPS broadcast signals, as defined in IS-GPS-200 and IS-GPS-705, providing constellation performance to peaceful civil, commercial, and scientific users, as established in the SPS Performance Standard (SPS PS), in accordance with U.S. Government policy.
SS	Sum of the squares
SV	Space vehicle
SVN	Space vehicle number
UMSI	Unalerted Misleading Signal Information.
URA	User Range Accuracy. The URA is a conservative representation of each satellite's expected RMS URE performance over the curve fit interval represented by the NAV data from which the URA is read.
UPE	User Projected Error
URE	User Range Error
UTC	Coordinated Universal Time
WAAS	Wide Area Augmentation System

APPENDIX B: DETAIL ANALYSIS FOR SVN64 ON OCTOBER 14-15, 2020

The high errors seen on the left tail of Figure 2-23 in the main report, which shows the PDF of MPE normalized by the URA across the constellation, occurred on SVN 64 on October 14 and October 15, 2020. Figure B-1shows the performance of SVN 64 on October 14, 2020, and Figure B-2 shows the performance of SVN 64 on October 15, 2020.

Subplot 1 shows the SISRE normalized by the URA, Subplot 2 shows the SISRE, and Subplot 3 shows the absolute values of SISRE. Yellow shows the UPE across the 200 locations, the red line is the minimum UPE, and the blue line is the MPE.

Subplot 4 shows the IODE and SV health, with green indicating when the satellite was healthy and red indicating when it was unhealthy.

Subplot 5 shows the Time Since Transmission Time of Message (TSTTOM) and Precise data health. The blue line is the fit interval (in seconds). The green line shows the TSTTOM of the most recent prior ephemeris data when the precise product was available, and the red line shows when the precise product was flagged unhealthy. The black glyph marks the ephemeris update at 2-hour intervals, and the purple glyph shows the ephemeris update outside the 2-hour interval.

Subplot 6 shows the orbit and clock errors. The black line shows the broadcast URA values in meters. The blue line shows the radial error, the orange line shows the along-track error, the green line shows the cross-track error, and the red line shows the clock error.

Subplot 7 shows NGA precise data availability, with blue indicating valid data and red indicating invalid data.

The satellite was healthy the entirety of both days with valid precise data, as shown in Subplots 5 and 7. The TSTTOM traces in Subplot 5 were within the 4-hour fit interval of 15,000 seconds, indicating valid data sets. The Along-Track error dropped below -4m of error at approximately 21:40 UTC on October 14. The Along-Track error continued to increase to a maximum of - 15.74m of error at approximately 17:40 UTC on October 15. The Cross-Track error dropped below -4m of error at approximately 06:55 UTC on October 15, and continued to fluctuate, reaching a maximum error of -8.35m at approximately 19:30 UTC. The Along-Track error and Cross-Track error stabilized to -0.58m and -0.44m respectively, on a new Issue of Data at approximately 19:35 UTC.



Figure B-1. SVN 64 on October 14, 2020



Figure B-2. SVN 64 on October 15, 2020